

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,521	12/13/2001	Parker Small	UF156IB-D3	6725
29847 75	90 04/09/2004		EXAM	INER
BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE			GITOMER, RALPH J	
390 N. ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500 ORLANDO, FL 32801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			mm >	

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		T				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/015,521	SMALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ralph Gitomer	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercises.</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p					
closed in accordance with the practice under z	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 11,	100 0.0.210.				
A) Claim(s) 29 and 30 is/are pending in the application Papers  4) Claim(s) 29 and 30 is/are pending in the application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is one of the drawing(s).	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applications Frity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/015,521

Art Unit: 1651

The Response to Restriction Requirement received 11/6/03 has been entered and claims 29-30 are currently pending in this application. Further searching and/or consideration may be required in the future due to the nature of the claims presented. No searching has been performed and no references are applied in this Office Action. Please inform the examiner of all related applications, pending, allowed or abandoned, and to what their claims are directed. It is noted in the Restriction Requirement, claims 29-30 were not a separate group from claims 1-8 which are issued in 6,551,791.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,551,791. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broader than those of '791 and encompass the claims of '791.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,521

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 29-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,910,421. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broader than those of '421 and encompass the claims of '421.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a specific container with specific reagents, does not reasonably provide enablement for any container with any reagents. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The entire scope of the claims has not been enabled because:

- 1. Quantity of experimentation necessary would be undue because of the large proportion of inoperative containers and reagents claimed.
- 2. Amount of direction or guidance presented is insufficient to predict which containers and reagents encompassed by the claims would work.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,521

Art Unit: 1651

- 3. Presence of working examples are only for specific containers and reagents and extension to other containers and reagents has not been specifically taught or suggested.
- 4. The nature of the invention is complex and unpredictable.
- 5. State of the prior art indicates that most related containers and reagents are not effective for the claimed functions.
- 6. Level of predictability of the art is very unpredictable.
- 7. Breadth of the claims encompasses an innumerable number of containers and reagents.
- 8. The level of one of ordinary skill in this art is variable.

In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988)

The title of the invention is not aptly descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 29(b) and 30 what the reagents may be that would perform their stated function has not been set forth in the claims rendering them indefinite. Abbreviations such as TAME should be spelled out in their first occurrence in the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ralph Gitomer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651

HALPH GITOMER PRIMANY EXAMELER GROUP 1200